

Project Title

Virtual Training Improves Knowledge and Confidence of NUHS Primary Care Network Primary Healthcare Practitioners in Performing Diabetic Foot Screening (DFS)

Project Lead and Members

Project lead: Arnold Hu Project members: Jolene Tai, Christel Leong

Organisation(s) Involved

Ng Teng Fong General Hospital

Healthcare Family Group(s) Involved in this Project

Allied Health

Applicable Specialty or Discipline

Podiatry

Aims

Increase the confidence and knowledge of Primary Healthcare Practitioners (PHPs) in NUHS Primary Care Network (PCN) in performing DFS through a virtual training workshop.

Background

See poster appended/ below

Methods

See poster appended/ below

Results

See poster appended/ below



Lessons Learnt

Holding the session virtually was beneficial for PHPs in increasing their knowledge on DFS and its risk stratification especially in a pandemic era as it provides accessibility to resources. The session also enhanced their confidence in conducting DFS.

Conclusion

See poster appended/ below

Project Category

Care Continuum, Preventive Care, Community Health, Training & Education, Education Platform, Virtual Learning Platform

Keywords

Virtual Training, Primary Care Network, Diabetic Foot Screening

Name and Email of Project Contact Person(s)

Name: Arnold Hu

Email: Arnold_hu@nuhs.edu.sg

[Restricted, Non-sensitive]

Virtual training improves knowledge and confidence of NUHS PCN primary healthcare in performing diabetic foot screening

MEMBERS:

Arnold Hu, Jolene Tai, Christel Leong

Define Problem, Set Aim

Opportunity for Improvement

As part of holistic chronic disease management, NUHS Primary Care Network (PCN) GPs provide diabetic foot screening (DFS). These primary healthcare practitioners (PHPs) play a pivotal role in the early detection and prevention of diabetic foot complications which can be mitigated through regular diabetic foot screening (DFS). A local study (Ang et al. 2017) done in the primary care setting found that a lack of DFS is associated with a higher risk of lower extremity amputation (LEA). Patients who did not undergo DFS had a 6.3 fold increased risk of a LEA compared to patients who underwent DFS. Therefore, the Podiatry team in NTFGH saw an opportunity to work together with our NUHS PCN colleagues to identify any gaps in their knowledge of the diabetic foot management. A pre-training survey conducted by the Podiatry team discovered that there was a lack in confidence and knowledge amongst the PHPs in performing DFS and risk stratification of the diabetic foot. This may result in patients receiving delayed specialist treatment, which may further lead to poor outcomes such as delayed wound healing and/or LEA.

SAFETY PRODUCTIVITY QUALITY COST PATIENT

EXPERIENCE

Select Changes

Root causes: Lack of time, equipment and training on DFS

Possible solutions:

- 1) Conduct a virtual training via Zoom to better equip them with DFS knowledge and its risk stratification
- 2) Provision of MOH ACG 2019 on



Aim

 Increase the confidence and knowledge of PHPs in NUHS Primary Care Network (PCN) in performing DFS through a virtual training workshop.

Establish Measures

What was your performance <u>before interventions</u>?

- 45 PHPs (33 GPs, 8 nurses, 4 care coordinators) performed a baseline assessment of their knowledge and confidence on DFS and risk stratification via an online questionnaire.
- None attended any diabetic foot related training in the past year.
- 62.3% of participants were unaware of the MOH Appropriate Care Guide (ACG) on diabetic foot assessment and guidance in identifying and managing risk of diabetic foot ulcers.

- diabetic foot management
- 3) Face to face practical workshop on DFS

Test & Implement Changes

YCLE	PLAN	DO		STUDY		ACT		
1	Aim: Identify gaps in knowledge and areas of concern in the diabetic foot screening and management. •Collaborate with Primary Care Partnerships to disseminate pre-workshop questionnaire	Disseminated an onlin questionnaire to participants. Created an online wor regarding DFS and up on guidelines of diaber foot management.	kshop odates tic	80% of the participants found the most common barrier to performing DFS was a lack of time, equipment and training. PHPs lack the knowledge, training and confidence in conducting DFS. Several PHPs did not implement DFS in their regular practice.		There is a need to improve and increase the training and resources for diabetic foot related management amongst the PHPs. Plan: Create a virtual workshop and provide extra resources to supplement their knowledge on DFS		
2	Aim: Increase the confidence and knowledge of diabetic foot screening and management amongst PHPs •Conduct a virtual workshop over Zoom •Create a post-workshop questionnaire to determine the participants' increase in knowledge from virtual training and any areas of improvement for future workshops	Disseminated a post- workshop questionnain participants. Due to COVID-19 restrictions, participant commented on lack of hands-on approach wh would have better faci their DFS knowledge. However, they apprect the convenience and accessibility of attendit workshop online espec- in such pandemic time Participants wished the future sessions could include a bigger comp on wound care and dre choices.	Self-reported co performing DFS from 26.7% (pro (post). 79.2% of the pa who filled up the questionnaire in hich that they will be litated incorporating D future practice. iated Participants be aware of the dif ng a aspects of DFS cially neurological an es. assessment of at and its risk stra after attending onent talk but will req essing additional resource.		onfidence in S increased e) to 70.8% articipants e post- ndicated e DFS in their came more fferent S (e.g., nd vascular the foot) atifications the virtual uire urces to ledge and	With the inclusion of ACG, it further enhanced their knowledge on DFS and they could easily refer to this resource when necessary. Plan: Conduct yearly talks for primary healthcare professionals on various aspects on diabetic foot management such as wound care, offloading so that they can be regularly updated on the latest information. PHPs to be able to use the CHAS referral form for medical referrals to SOCs, to refer patients with diabetic foot issues to NTFGH Diabetic Foot MDC when required.		
					Correct Answers			
			F	Pre-training		Post-trai	ning	
Vascular assessment			ı n		4.4.4			
_					%	n	%	%
Pulses palp	pation (DP & PT)		32	2	71.1	n 20	83.3	% 12.2
Pulses palp ABI results	interpretation		32	3	% 71.1 40	n 20 20	% 83.3 83.3	% 12.2 43.3
Pulses palp ABI results Neurolog	oation (DP & PT) interpretation ical assessment		32	3	% 71.1 40	n 20 20	% 83.3 83.3	% 12.2 43.3
Pulses palp ABI results Neurolog No. of mono	<pre>bation (DP & PT) interpretation ical assessment ofilament testing sites</pre>		32	3	% 71.1 40 13.3	n 20 20 13	% 83.3 83.3 54.2	% 12.2 43.3 40.9 26.1
Pulses palp ABI results Neurolog No. of mone No. of inser	<pre>bation (DP & PT) interpretation ical assessment ofilament testing sites nsate sites to diagnose DPN tification</pre>		32 18 6 7	3	% 71.1 40 13.3 15.6	n 20 20 13 10	% 83.3 83.3 54.2 41.7	% 12.2 43.3 40.9 26.1
Pulses palp ABI results Neurolog No. of mone No. of inser Risk Strat	<pre>bation (DP & PT) interpretation ical assessment ofilament testing sites nsate sites to diagnose DPN tification with CKD stage 5</pre>		32 18 6 7	3	% 71.1 40 13.3 15.6 77.9	n 20 20 13 10 24	% 83.3 83.3 54.2 41.7	% 12.2 43.3 40.9 26.1 22.2
Pulses palp ABI results Neurolog No. of mone No. of inser Risk Stra t Risk status	<pre>bation (DP & PT) interpretation ical assessment ofilament testing sites nsate sites to diagnose DPN tification with CKD stage 5 of just loss of protective sensation</pre>		32 18 6 7 35	5	% 71.1 40 13.3 15.6 77.8	n 20 20 13 10 24 13	% 83.3 83.3 54.2 41.7 100 54.2	% 12.2 43.3 40.9 26.1 22.2 5.3
Pulses palp ABI results Neurolog No. of mon No. of inser Risk Stra t Risk status Risk factor	bation (DP & PT) interpretation ical assessment ofilament testing sites nsate sites to diagnose DPN tification with CKD stage 5 of just loss of protective sensation		32 18 6 7 35 22 26	5	% 71.1 40 13.3 15.6 77.8 48.9 57.8	n 20 20 13 10 24 13 24 13 20	% 83.3 83.3 54.2 41.7 100 54.2 83.3	% 12.2 43.3 40.9 26.1 22.2 5.3 25.5
Pulses palp ABI results Neurolog No. of mone No. of inser Risk Stra Risk status Risk factor Frequency	bation (DP & PT) interpretation ical assessment ofilament testing sites nsate sites to diagnose DPN tification with CKD stage 5 of just loss of protective sensation of DFS if moderate risk	ot deformity	32 18 6 7 35 22 26 34	2 2 3 3 5 2 5 5	% 71.1 40 13.3 15.6 77.8 48.9 57.8 57.8 77.8	n 20 20 13 10 24 13 24 13 20 21	% 83.3 83.3 54.2 41.7 100 54.2 83.3 87.5	% 12.2 43.3 40.9 26.1 22.2 5.3 25.5 9 7
Pulses palp ABI results Neurolog No. of mon No. of inser Risk Stra Risk status Risk factor Frequency Risk status	bation (DP & PT) interpretation ical assessment ofilament testing sites insate sites to diagnose DPN tification with CKD stage 5 of just loss of protective sensation of DFS if moderate risk with impaired vascular status and fo of DFS with Hx of amputation	ot deformity	32 18 6 7 35 22 26 35 19	2 2 3 5 5 2 6 5 5	% 71.1 40 13.3 15.6 77.8 48.9 57.8 57.8 77.8 42.2	n 20 20 13 13 10 24 13 24 13 20 21 21 22	% 83.3 83.3 54.2 41.7 100 54.2 83.3 87.5 91.7	% 12.2 43.3 40.9 26.1 22.2 5.3 25.5 9.7 49.5

- 26.7% of participants were confident in performing a DFS.
- Limited knowledge in DFS and management of a diabetic foot.

Analyse Problem

What is your process <u>before interventions</u>?

GPs are required to attend yearly Continuing Medical Educational activities. Currently, the NUHS Primary Partnership team would engage with Specialists for disease management training. Otherwise, GPs would have to source for external CMEs, often organised by College of Family Physicians. Currently, there is not much training/education on diabetic foot screening or diabetic foot management

Pareto chart: Root causes in lack of knowledge and confidence in DFS and management



Spread Changes, Learning Points

References

Ang, GY, Yap, CW & Saxena, N 2017, Effectiveness of Diabetes Foot Screening in Primary Care in Preventing Lower Extremity Amputations, Ann Acad Med Singapore 2017;46:417-23.

Future plans/ strategies to spread change:

- Organize regular training sessions with PCN stakeholders about various aspects on diabetic foot, to improve their management in the primary care setting.

- Future training sessions can be done over Zoom and face-to-face, to allow hands-on practice. Future training sessions can be incorporated into various specialty departments of NTFGH as part of their CME sessions, in order to raise awareness about diabetic foot

Key learnings from this project? What can be improved?

Holding the session virtually was beneficial for PHPs in increasing their knowledge on DFS and its risk stratification especially in a pandemic era as it provides accessibility to resources. The session also enhanced their confidence in conducting DFS.

More PCN PHPs will be incorporating DFS in their practice after this session.

Participants feel that a physical session involving practical training components will make the session more useful and effective.

There can be more future collaborations with PCN to increase awareness for a more seamless and accessible referral pathway to our diabetic foot multidisciplinary clinics as it is still currently not being widely practiced by our PHPs.